Natural Shoreline Landscapes on Michigan Inland Lakes

A workshop for local government officials.

Healthy Lake Ecosystems

MICHIGAN NATURAL SHORELINE PARTNERSHIP Promoting Natural Shoreline Landscaping to Protect Michigan's Inland Lakes

This presentation is a product of the Michigan Natural Shoreline Partnership. This presentation may be used, quoted, or reproduced in part without permission, as long as it is used for noncommercial or nonprofit educational purposes, and the authors are credited.





This Certifies that

Heidi Shaffer

Has successfully completed the Michigan Natural

Shoreline Professional Training

and

Certification Program







Certified By: <u>Michigan Natural Shoreline Partnership</u>

Certification Granted Through: June 13, 2015



Shoreline vegetation removal: Consequences on inland lakes

Lawn to the water's edge Loss of fish and wildlife habitat Muisance animal habitat **Shoreline erosion and hardening** Loss of shade Deadwood removal Sandy beach maintenance Polluted stormwater Excessive plant growth and algae blooms **Oxygen** loss **Recreation impacts Chemical treatment**



Photo: Scott Brown

What Can We Do?

- Natural Shorelines
- Buffers
- Stormwater Management
- Work together to enlighten property owners
- Change the mindset



Naturalize shorelines to restore habitat, stabilize shorelines AND improve 'curb' appeal





This Certifies that

Diane Crandall

Has successfully completed the Michigan Natural Shoreline Professional Training

> and Contine Dece

Certification Program



Certified By: <u>Michigan Natural Shoreline Partnership</u>

Certification Granted Through June 13, 2015

Soft engineering...



...uses plants, plant products and special techniques to prote soil surface and create structure within the soil to withstand erosive forces.

Overarching concept of any soft engineering technique is the reintroduction of deep-rooted native plants, creating a system that mimics naturally stable shorelines.





Shoreline Plant Communities



Cross section of a natural shoreline depicting ecological zones. Source: *Michigan State University Extension Land & Water Unit.*)





Showing horizontal root structure



Native Plant Root Systems

> Showing vertical structure



Designing for Buffers

Buffers can be used to:

- Attract wildlife
- Discourage wildlife
- Filter stormwater runoff
- Maintain a stable shoreline







Key to Success

- A design is appropriate for site conditions
- ✓ The project is correctly installed
- Maintenance is adequately planned and implemented





Jennifer Gelb

Tip of the Mitt Watershed Council
Restoration Ecologist
Natural Shoreline Specialist
Natural Shoreline Partner





Bioengineering on High Energy Lakes



Typical Eroding Bank

EXPOSED SOIL

UNDERCUT BANK

LARGE COBBLES DISPLACED BY ICE

Typical Natural Shoreline Cross Section



Natural Shoreline Installation



Designing Rock Revetment

-In order to determine rock size one must know:

- Fetch
- Wind speed

-Use table (right) to determine significant wave heights Average Sustained Over-Water Wind Speed (MPH)

| | | 10 | 20 | 35 | 50 |
|---------------|------|------|------|------|------|
| Fetch (Miles) | 1.0 | 0.30 | 0.60 | 1.05 | 1.50 |
| | 2.0 | 0.40 | 0.85 | 1.45 | 2.15 |
| | 5.0 | 0.70 | 1.35 | 2.35 | 3.30 |
| | 10.0 | 0.90 | 1.90 | 3.30 | 4.75 |
| | 15.0 | 1.20 | 2.35 | 4.10 | 5.80 |
| | 20.0 | 1.35 | 2.70 | 4.70 | 6.75 |

In Northern Michigan, we have determined that significant wave heights generated by wind speed of 35 MPH is an appropriate estimate.

Little rocks work....but how little is little?

Significant wave height (feet

- Rock sizes: should vary...not all being close to the median size
 - Multiply median size by 1.5 to determine max.
 - Multiply median size by 0.5 to determine min.
- Thickness: about 2-2.5 x thickness of the average rock size

| 5 { | | Rock Weight (pounds) | Rock Diameter (inches) |
|-----|-----|-------------------------|---------------------------|
| | 0.5 | 1 | 2.0 |
| | 1.0 | 10 | 4.5 |
| | 1.5 | 20 | 6.5 |
| | 2.0 | 50 | 9.0 |
| | 2.5 | 100 | 11.0 |
| | 3.0 | 160 | 13.0 |
| | 4.0 | 390 | 18.0 |
| | 5.0 | 750 | 26.0 |
| | 7.0 | 2100 | 48.0 |

Table 2: recommended median rock sizesfor various significant wave heights.









Dekraker: SHORELINE RESTORATION PROJECT FIGURE 2 OF 5: Photo WATER BODY: Intermediate COUNTY: ANTRIM CITY: Bellaire STATE: Michigan APPLICATION BY: Tip of the Mitt Watershed Council DATE: 8-23-11

8 H 1 H 1

William State





Project Installation

Site access challenges

 Minimize impacts during installation

- Limit heavy
 equipment
- Stage materials away from shoreline
- Use creative solutions
- Restore disturbed areas immediately

NOT Bioengineering



Jennifer Buchanan Gelb Tip of the Mitt Watershed Council (231) 347-1181 <u>jen@watershedcouncil.org</u> www.watershedcouncil.org